Baptist Hill High

5117 Baptist Hill Road Hollywood, SC 29449

Grades 9-12 High School

Enrollment 441 Students

Principal James Winbush 843-889-2276

Superintendent Dr. Maria L. Goodloe–Johnson 843–937–6319

Board Chair Ms. Nancy Cook 873–760–2635

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

2006_F

ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

1 0 3 0 11

IMPROVEMENT RATING

EXCELLENT

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

О

This school met 10 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org Baptist Hill High 10/30/06 1001001

PERFO	PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD								
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress						
2003	Unsatisfactory	Below Average	No						
2004	Unsatisfactory	Excellent	No						
2005	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Yes						
2006	Unsatisfactory	Excellent	No						

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS										
		Our School		High Schools with						
			_	Students Like Ours						
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006				
Passed 2 subtests	50.0	56.5	76.2	60.0	55.9	58.8				
Passed 1 subtest	26.4	18.5	9.5	19.9	21.5	17.3				
Passed no subtests	23.6	25.0	14.3	20.1	22.6	30.7				

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	85.6%	83.3%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	0.0	2.9
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	0.0	3.3
Seniors who met the grade point average	12.2	28.8

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	101	111
Number of Diplomas	73	71
Rate	72.3%	66.5%

Baptist Hill High 10/30/06 1001001

END OF COURSE TESTS		
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	54.5	68.5
English 1	50.0	50.9
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	72.7	37.7
Physical Science	18.1	19.6
All Subjects	44.0	43.4

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006		Eligibility Schola	for LIFE arship	Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	90	85.6	90	0.0	73	72.3	Yes	
Gender								
Male	41	78.0	34	0.0	45	64.4	N/A	
Female	49	91.8	56	0.0	55	80.0	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	1	100.0	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
African American	89	85.4	89	0.0	98	73.5	N/A	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	1	0.0	1	100.0	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	79	93.7	84	0.0	87	80.5	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	11	27.3	6	0.0	14	21.4	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	90	85.6	90	0.0	101	72.3	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	90	85.6	90	0.0	101	72.3	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	70	85.7	76	76.3	76	76.3	N/A	
Full-pay meals	20	85.0	11	0.0	25	60.0	N/A	

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

10/30/06 1001001

					RY		

	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objection	Participation Objective M.
E	nglish/Lan		1	/ Performa	,		.3%	/	
All Students	104	100.0	17.0	51.0	23.0	9.0	42.0	No	Yes
Gender			,	,	,	,	,	,	
Male	56	100.0	24.1	46.3	25.9	3.7	42.6	N/A	N/A
Female	48	100.0	8.7	56.5	19.6	15.2	41.3	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
African American	97	100.0	16.8	50.5	24.2	8.4	43.2	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	89	100.0	7.0	55.8	26.7	10.5	48.8	N/A	N/A
Disabled	15	100.0	78.6	21.4	N/A	N/A	0.0	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status	_				,				
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	104	100.0	17.0	51.0	23.0	9.0	42.0	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	_				,				
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	104	100.0	17.0	51.0	23.0	9.0	42.0	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	88	100.0	17.6	54.1	18.8	9.4	36.5	No	Yes
Full-pay meals	16	100.0	13.3	33.3	46.7	6.7	73.3	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	ance Obie	ective = 50	0.0%			
All Students	104	100.0	21.0	51.0	22.0	6.0	54.0	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	56	100.0	24.1	53.7	20.4	1.9	48.1	N/A	N/A
Female	48	100.0	17.4	47.8	23.9	10.9	60.9	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
African American	97	100.0	20.0	52.6	21.1	6.3	53.7	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	89	100.0	11.6	57.0	24.4	7.0	60.5	N/A	N/A
Disabled	15	100.0	78.6	14.3	7.1	N/A	14.3	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	104	100.0	21.0	51.0	22.0	6.0	54.0	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	104	100.0	21.0	51.0	22.0	6.0	54.0	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	88	100.0	22.4	51.8	21.2	4.7	52.9	Yes	Yes
Full-pay mode	16	100.0	12.2	167	26.7	12.2	60.0	NI/A	NI/A

Full-pay meals

22.4 13.3

21.2 26.7

Baptist Hill High 10/30/06 1001001

	Our School		inge from ast Year	Hiq Scho with St Like	ools udents	Median High School
Students (n= 441)				LIKE	Ours	
Retention rate	11.9%		om 14.4%		9.4%	7.0%
Attendance rate	95.6%		om 95.9%		95.0%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	3.0%				3.5%	7.9%
With disabilities other than speech	13.3%	•			15.1%	12.3%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	14.5% 4.8%		om 23.4% om 7.5%		14.3% 0.7%	9.5% 1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	18.6% N/A	Down fr N/A	om 20.2%		0.0% N/A	11.2% N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	0.0%				3.0%	10.2%
Annual dropout rate	4.6%	Up from	2.6%		2.6%	2.8%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	3.9%		om 4.7%		7.0%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	345	Up from	282		270	448
Students participating in worked-based experiences	24.4%	ı '			24.8%	24.2%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	78.4%	Up from	74.3%		75.8%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed * Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem Teachers (n= 39)		Down fr	om 93.2%		98.1%	99.1%
Teachers with advanced degrees	48.7%	Up from	30.5%		51.5%	55.5%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	op nom	00.070		N/AV	N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	33.1%	N/A			18.1%	9.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	33.3%	Up from 28.1%		16.0%		9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year		Up from			77.1%	86.3%
Teacher attendance rate		Up from			94.4%	95.3%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	, .,	Up 5.9% Up from	6 10.2 days		41,390 2 days	\$42,943 11.2 days
School						
Principal's years at school		No char			2.5	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	21.2 to 1		om 21.6 to 1		1.1 to 1	25.7 to 1
Prime instructional time	89.4%		om 89.5%		87.6%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil* Percent of expenditures for teacher		Up 11.2 Up from			\$8,814 53.9%	\$6,792 55.3%
salaries*		ор пош	JJ.Z /0			
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	54.8%				61.0%	61.1%
Opportunities in the arts		No char			Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	Up from			79.4%	92.8%
SACS accreditation Character development * Prior year audited financial data are reported.		Down fr Down fr	om Yes om Excellent		Yes Good	Yes Good
,			Our	District		State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by high				0.7%		6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high	nly qualified teach	ners	State Object	0.5%	Mot-C	10.2% tate Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in	this school		0.0%	uve	wet S	No No
Ctudent ettendence in this school	0011001		0.070			Van

Abbreviations for Missing Data

94.0%*

Yes

Student attendance in this school *or greater than last year

Baptist Hill High 10/30/06 1001001

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Baptist Hill High School's administration, faculty and staff continue to work collaboratively with parents and the community to implement and improve programs that will ensure student success. Although our state report card rating for the past several years has been unsatisfactory, our students' test scores are steadily improving. For the 2004 -2005 school year, Baptist Hill High School met all of its thirteen objectives to achieve Adequate Yearly Progress.

Our 9th Grade Academy helped our freshmen adjust to the rigors of high school by grouping them in a small learning community. All ninth grade students were enrolled in Freshman Seminar, which provided instruction in study skills, character development, and career exploration and provided support for the transition to high school.

We expanded intervention strategies for students who struggle academically and will continue to offer additional academic support through our HSAP lab, after-school programs, and extended learning opportunities. Assistance in these programs was tailored to students' individual needs based on data from benchmark and MAP tests. Our extended learning sessions provided small group instruction in HSAP and End of Course test preparation, SAT preparation, and college and career exploration.

Team planning time was provided during the school day to allow teachers to study and collaborate as members of professional learning communities. Professional development for teachers focused on strategies for active learning, differentiated instruction, and reading across the curriculum. With the assistance of specialists from the South Carolina State Department of Education, teachers engaged in frequent data analysis and collaborative instructional planning.

While we are proud of our accomplishments, we realize that there are still many challenges we must meet. We continue to seek solutions to the barriers that are slowing our progress. We will continue our efforts to improve our graduation rate, longitudinal exit exam passage rate, SAT scores, and our students' performance on state assessments. We must address the poor reading levels of our students, increase parent involvement, reduce the dropout rate, and recruit and retain highly qualified teachers. We are confident that, together, we can face these challenges and implement strategies that will ensure academic success for our students.

Dr. James E. Winbush, Principal Shari Kinney, School Improvement Council Chairperson

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	42	74	23				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	87.8%	80.8%	90.9%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	97.5%	80.3%	82.6%				
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	61.5%	83.6%	82.6%				

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.